

## Walberton Neighbourhood Plan – Reg 14 – responses from WSCC and ADC

WSCC	Policy and response	SG comments
<b>Presentation</b>	Suggest better maps are needed to identify sites and policies	<b>Agreed Individual site maps added to Evidence Base</b>
<b>Getting Around</b>	Request a clarification on footpaths/footways on p.20; also clarify our objective re Scotland Lane	<b>Agree to review wording</b>
<b>Education</b>	The school numbers are not in line with latest reported figures	<b>Accept and will make necessary corrections</b>
<b>Housing Policies P 37</b>	It is suggested that part of the Housing policies should aim to ensure there are more and better sustainable links between housing and facilities	<b>Disagree – GA 2 should be sufficient</b>
<b>ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>A few minor errors</b>	<b>Agreed to edit</b>
<b>Local Green Space</b>	Clarify the parish split on map of Dandara; query the boundaries of Avisford Grange LGS	<b>Maps to be corrected where necessary. Updated maps placed in Evidence Base.</b>
<b>Pages 29-30</b>	Suggest reference should be made to the Green Infrastructure Framework mentioned in the LP	<b>Agreed- the green area shown on the ADC map coincides with the important gap between settlements</b>
<b>Conservation Areas VE4</b>	Should there be design guidance?	<b>No – that is role for ADC to impose</b>
<b>VE Buildings of special character</b>	Should Old Smithy be there?	<b>No – this section to be revised</b>
<b>VE 10 Biod.</b>	Suggest some action is required beyond the policies. Improve legend on Schedule Map.	<b>No – amend reasons to allow for action but no policy required</b>

<b>VE 12 Views and Vistas</b>	Appendix was missing, query the photo from Tye Lane and clearer presentation required	<b>Agreed – general overhaul required New photo from Tye Lane attached.</b>
<b>HP 6 Housing Mix</b>	Can we clarify? Do we not want an affordable houses policy?	<b>SG to consider what evidence we have to be more specific needs. ADC affordable policies should be enough, unless we can ask for Walberton preference. Our understanding is that ADC will not permit us to override their policy.</b>
<b>HP 16 Sussex Business Park and CL 1,2,3</b>	Evidence is needed to support this policy; might require a full review to identify the need for employment sites	<b>E. Lawrence to be asked to provide market evidence plus Kevin Owen’s email of support. Meeting with Kevin 31 Jan 2020 when various sites were discussed. Kevin expressed support and thought that they could fit more on the site if they reduced the parking – he followed up by email 3 Feb saying “Hi Suzanne – whilst I’m thinking about it I thought I’d just alert you to Arun’s new Parking Standards SPD which can be found on the page link below – so to temper what I was saying about parking on the business park with this policy document requirements – as I forgot to mention it at the meeting.</b>
<b>CL 9 Child Care</b>	There is no evidence that a child care facility is needed; concern about the facility so close to the Trunk Road.	<b>The size and likely demographics of Dandara and NT field together are bound to raise the demand – forward planning; Community Play Centre at Walberton will provide support. Report from CPC@W attached to Evidence Base. Discuss the</b>

		<b>siting of the child care on the indicative layout plan with N.T.</b>
--	--	---