

Do you broadly support our Neighbourhood Plan Review?	Do you have any comments?
No	I disagree with the proposal to build on the small parcel of land behind Longwall. This will adversely affect the residents of Henty close, affecting both the privacy of current houses and their aspect. The current landowner has been a highly inconsiderate neighbour, refusing to maintain trees that block out others' light and severely overhang their gardens. This latest proposal Moves to further take away the privacy and enjoyment of current owners' properties.
Yes	Strongly support the rejected sites
Yes	I had opposed the Dower House site but would now support the proposal to build retirement flats on this site. However, ONLY if it is retirement flats and they do not turn into yet more 4 bedroom houses.
Yes	Looking at the vast areas of 'Red' on the plan indicates the fight we will have in front of us to maintain our village as a village. All the potential developers will be killing the one thing they want to sell, a rural setting.
Yes	Given Brexit and C-19 and the impact on the economy, should we not slow down the building process and wait perhaps for 12-24 months to see if building requirements change?
Yes	Only want small housing sites.
Yes	The doctors, schools dentists etc are already over subscribed. Before any new houses are occupied, improvements in the infrastructure must have taken place. It is not acceptable for this to happen after the local population has increased
Yes	Our gratitude to the team who work so hard on these matters
Yes	Feel it's important that individual villages are allowed to keep their identity and not become part of one long 'strip' development. There is not the local infrastructure to support this.
Yes	I think plan is a very good solution to ADC demands and has relatively little impact on the character of Walberton
Yes	Please give very careful consideration to further development given the narrow and already heavily used road through the village. The new builds on Yaption Lane will no doubt bring additional traffic to this already overburdened thoroughfare, and further increase in traffic numbers must be carefully considered to maintain road safety in the village.
Yes	Nice to see mostly small developments, some with apartments / flats for older people to downsize and youngsters to get on the housing ladder. Community child care land essential with the new developments in Fontwell. New policies. Flint walls, biodiversity and views particularly good to see. Thank you
Yes	We are very pleased to see the rejection of the large development site to the east of Yaption Lane within the Neighbourhood Plan. Such a development would be very detrimental to the local area and amenities, particularly given the recent Avisford Grange development.
Yes	
Yes	We appreciate your efforts to keep the number of new dwellings as low as possible.
Yes	
Yes	My family have moved to Binsted during the past 12 months. It would appear that we have moved to a hornets nest of discontent in respect of the proposed A27 Arundal By-pass. Is that reflected in the plan? If not why not? And why if it was, did it not show in our searches?

Yes	
Yes	Does approving this plan mean there are unlikely to be any more successful planning applications for residential developments in the foreseeable future. We already have Avisford Park coming on stream and a pre planning notification for a similar sized residential development on the other side of Yapton Lane. Does the Redrow residential development on Ford Lane fall within Yapton parish rather than ours?
Yes	I do wonder who takes account of this, despite all the red blocks of unsupported development, it seems to go ahead anyway
No	I am horrified that the National trust are selling the horses field which has a public footpath running around it and is regularly used by many dog walkers. The site at the end of Arundel road past the garages has a very large area with access and yet only two houses I believe are going there. This site would have easy access onto the A27. Fontwell has already had to take a huge number of houses. Also the Barn field house site is totally inappropriate for what is proper to be built . Combined with the horses field it is a total tragedy of planning. But then the planners and developers don't here!
No	
Yes	If we have to take on so many properties in the village, we really need public toilets.
Yes	
Yes	Agree with the Plan's suggested sites - we need fewer houses on chosen sites.
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	No more houses ! The present sewer cannot cope with the extra volume of waste water. They have to build or upgrade the system, before building more houses. I had the Waterboard (3wks) pumping sewage in front of my house last winter.
Yes	
Yes	I very much oppose item 1 on the plan. The small green triangle where West Walberton Lane meets Arundel Road is at the end of a green belt of mature trees providing a corridor which enables wildlife to move through the area. For example we have recently seen Roe deer in our garden. One of the new policies listed on the plans says BIODIVERSITY CORRIDORS- THESE MUST NOT BE HARMED. If the houses behind Woodcroft are built (how did two inexplicably become 8? ) the green band would be broken, and if in the future the land occupied by the garage is developed the small green triangle would be completely surrounded by new buildings. Just now a huge house is in the process of being built in the point of the triangle. The inevitability of the development on the National Trust land,, in itself a terrible mistake,, would result in a urban sprawl quite unsuitable for the character of the area and the opposite of your policy. The problem of drainage and sewage which already exists in this part of Walberton would undoubtedly become worse and light pollution completely unacceptable in this rural area.
Yes	N.A
Yes	
Yes	This looks a good fair plan for our villages with not too much encroaching into the rural surroundings.
Yes	Excellent thorough report by the review committee, with well thought out development plans and recommendations which I hope will be accepted.
Yes	Green corridor should also include the hedgerow behind Barnfield Cottages, as there are 4 dormice nests, that have shown up on dandaras habitat survey, they have said it is worthy of inclusion on the National database. This is a IUCN Red List Species. So this must be a green corridor. I like the layout of the National Trust Field.

Yes	The National Trust field is acceptable. Green corridor should include the hedgerow behind Barnfield Cottages, dormice nest here.
Yes	1. It is not right that you are holding your NP review during the pandemic. It is not fair on parishioners like me who cannot attend due to health reasons, but in any case, I think it is completely wrong that you are holding an open day at this time and putting those parishioners that do attend at risk. This NP open day should have been cancelled. 2. I think you need to be far more realistic about the triangle at Fontwell. The landowner will want to maximize their profit from this parcel of land and whilst they may now say we'll provide x,y and z for the community in order to get their site in the approved NP, I strongly suspect that the future planning application will be for far more houses. I am already aware of a planning inspector over-ruling the numbers at a NP site in West Sussex and allowing an increase in housing numbers over and above the NP on the grounds of needing to have efficient use of land for housing.
No	Yet again Fontwell have more housing forced on it. We will be bigger than Walberton, so we should have our own Parish Council.
Yes	I much prefer the small developments that are shown on the plan. Further large developments will completely take away the feeling of a village that Walberton still has. We do not want to be like most of the South coast - a string of anonymous and characterless developments. Traffic is quite bad enough along The Street as is the risk of flooding in winter.
Yes	
Yes	Sensible proposals but totally against any of the projects outlined in red
Yes	Concern about the children's area at National Trust site Fontwell being adjacent to pollution from A27.
Yes	
Yes	Any housing has a detrimental impact on the community especially when there isn't the infrastructure, schools, doctors, etc to support it. The problem will only be solved by central govt taking action to limit population growth, seriously limiting immigration etc. That being said, the Neighbourhood Plan team should be congratulated on proposing a Plan which limits the impact on Walberton - hopefully it will be accepted before Arun asks for even more housing!
Yes	With the new development in Tupperts Field currently on going I don't believe we need further substantial house building in the village - nor that the infrastructure can sustain it.
Yes	Only grudgingly broadly support the plan as I oppose all the obscenely huge amount of building that is going on in the country.
Yes	Well thought through and very clear to follow
No	Because it reflects Aruns which will be a disaster. Their plan talks all the words they think the public want to hear but the end result is becoming clear. New roads that achieve nothing other than more houses. Slums of the future built with packing material. Eye sore architecture as in the estate at the bottom of Fontwell avenue.
Yes	We fully support the Neighbourhood plan  Comment left at the open day
Yes	Please include the view from Walberton Park across to the Ha - Ha - it is a view to be preserved  Written comment at the open day
Yes	Thank you for keeping us up to date with what is going on and giving us the opportunity to comment.

Yes	I think the proposals have been well chosen Written comment left at the open day
Yes	Excellent presentation and artwork etc. We MUST keep Walberton as a village with no "Joining Up" of other villages Thanks for all your good work Written comment left at the open day
Yes	Small pockets of housing suits the village better. Pleased to see some flats so that local people can downsize and youngsters stand a chance of living in the area. Written comment at the open day
Yes	A green approach to any further development is something I feel should be taken into account as we are at a time of climate crisis which we ignore at our peril. This neighbourhood plan appears the best solution and as we come out of the current pandemic we should be looking at the long term rather than just going back to pushing through developments regardless of the impact on our local environment and the wider community.
Yes	Why is the other large Public Open Space at Tuppens Field (to the west) omitted from the additional protected open space areas?
Yes	
Yes	What is the reason the The Pines application has been cancelled?
Yes	No.
Yes	A little concerned as we live directly next to one of your rejected sites (North Pound/Tye Lane). Which of course means that at one point it was on ADC's radar.
Yes	
Yes	Glad to see more emphasis on environmental issues. Just wonder if WPC can find a way of including more explicit comments and justifications within the plan for proposals that contribute to reducing carbon emissions and are in line with it's agreement last December to support actions which help in this respect. I know this is not a requirement, but might it be possible to use all possibilities to remind Arun DC of its own commitments. Possible to suggest only support or new housing built to be as carbon neutral as possible? ( eg NOT with gas central heating) Again I assume the planning process has not yet caught up with ways of reaching net carbon by 2050, but I would hope all local authorities could at least signal the need for this and indicate support.
Yes	
Yes	With regard to the Fontwell Field NT site development, the additional traffic this will produce on West Walberton Lane is likely to produce more problems for those people pulling out of individual houses and at the turning into Longmead/Nash Way which is already difficult. I would like to suggest more use of the convex traffic mirrors like at the entrance to Copse Lane to make pulling out onto the lane more safe.
No	I am very concerned about the proposed development of the National trust land at Fontwell. Despite what you say it is outside the built up area boundary for Fontwell. It is not a suitable location and the inclusion of a children's centre will increase traffic on Wandleys Lane and West Walberton Lane. There is no guarantee that the development at Barnfield House will go ahead so it should not be taken for granted that ADC will agree an application which is not in the Neighbourhood Plan and is outside the built up area boundary.  Also the plan is heavily weighted towards development in Fontwell with 3 of the 6 proposed sites

Yes	I support the Neighbourhood Plan and the new sites totalling 70 houses. I also agree with the proposed changes to the current Plan regarding the protection of flint walls, the maintenance of distinctive views, the maintenance of biodiversity corridors and the requirement for a full archaeological survey wherever and whenever any development of an archaeological site demands this.
Yes	
Yes	The planners must be aware that the infrastructure cannot support as many houses that they seem to want to build, as the roads, schools and doctors are already overcrowded.
Yes	
No	Astounded that the parish Council had no objection to an entrance to Tupperts field from Tye Lane. And commenting that it will be closed when houses are finished. No one would believe that and Obviously none of you use that lane!!!
Yes	Thank you to the Neighbourhood Plan team for all your continuing hard work on this matter.
Yes	
Yes	
No	I am furious that the National Trust land and Barnfield house are included in the plan making another estate I Fontwell where we have already the Fontwell meadows. The National Trust field is bordered by a well used footpath which will become a noisy alleyway around an estate of house instead of a pleasant field of horses.
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	I am concerned about the appearance of what seems to be an access road from Tupperts Field into Tye Lane, I thought that this was not allowed under the original planning.
Yes	I think the NP group have done a great job.
Yes	A well structured plan, but will ADC take any notice?
Yes	
Yes	I do hope all this hard work by the few is well supported by the parish.
Yes	No big housing developments. Thy to keep to the 60 houses indicated on the plan Extend the cycle path from Eastergate Lane northwards. Keep the rejected sites as rejected to maintain some space.
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	Having recently moved to this lovely village we count ourselves lucky to have such a dedicated team of people doing a great job.
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	No. Fully supported after discussions.
Yes	Not sure about building on the National Trust land. Going to be a very noisy place to live.
Yes	A well balanced analysis of housing needs
Yes	I hope that the adoption of the neighbourhood plan will help to protect our environment and that the new housing will meet our needs as a village. I am concerned that services and infrastructure will be inadequate.

Yes	Up to it's usual high standard.
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	Well done in latterly tricky times
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes	I fully support the proposed updated plan
Yes	<p>We would like to voice our support for the Walberton Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>One of our key concerns is that Walberton should retain its village character and not be consumed by the growing south coast conurbation, both from without and within.</p> <p>We do have a specific concern relating to infill developments, which can be fine if kept to a small scale, but developments where large plots are linked and ends of gardens are purchased to increase the size of plots are contrary to the aims of the Neighbourhood Plan and should be specifically ruled out.</p>
Yes	I am grateful to the Neighbourhood Plan team and the Parish Council for organising this Review. I consider myself very lucky to live in Walberton with such an excellent team taking care of the Parish in the best possible way.
Yes	<p>I believe that the land behind Long Wall, The Street would be overdeveloped if 3 properties were to be built. Allowing for access, garages and adequate gardens two would be in keeping with the surrounding properties &amp; the type of space that the properties of the area already enjoy.</p> <p>Re the Ecological Survey produced in Jan 2019 by Sussex Wildlife Biodiversity Centre. The report points out that Badger (&amp; Otter) records are not held by SBRC for security reasons but the local badger groups should be approached. I am the sett recorder for Badger Trust West Sussex and have made this known several times to various members of the Neighbourhood planning group. Unfortunately I was not approached at the time the report was being compiled to have the badger sett locations added to a (closed) map. The locations of setts should be kept redacted to the public.</p>
Yes	I am writing to register my support for the Review. Well done the team - a great deal of work, some of it latterly, in strange times.

Yes	<p>I have studied the suggested updated plan for 60 dwellings, and conclude the suggestion put forward is acceptable and does not cause unnecessary intrusion of new development. Fontwell Field is the largest part of the plan, but in my opinion, being bounded on one side by the A27, and previous developments to the west, makes a finite edge to the built up area. It is also giving back benefit in the form of the Children's Centre, which will be of benefit well into the future.</p> <p>One issue of interest however, is the combination of this, the Eastergate Estates, and other developments on the provision of water from the site outside the Parishes, in Level Mare Lane. I have noted the longer term plans by Portsmouth Water to seek to source water from outside their current boundary. Are the local pumping stations with extraction facilities able to supply the volumes of water needed from their catchment, or are they already networked to be able to draw from other areas?</p>
Yes	I would like to give my support to the neighbourhood plan.
Yes	Thank you for all your hard work with the neighbourhood plan and putting together a good selection of sensibly proportioned development options.
Yes	