

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

BUILDING DEVELOPMENT:

Comments on this subject, the principal intent of the Open Day, were mostly specific but also quite often general – i.e. as to the kind of site/development the respondent favoured – so these are summarized separately below:

Comments re numbered sites

SITE NO	NEGATIVE	POSITIVE	TOTAL
1	4		4
2	16	3	19
3			
4		1	1
5	8	10	18
6	3	10	13
7	1	17	18
8	1	8	9
9	1		1
10	1		1
11	6	2	8
12	3	2	5
13		44	44
14			
15	2	5	7
16	60	13	73
17	2	6	8
18			
19	2	19	21
20			
21			
22	1	7	8
25	1		1
TOTAL	112	147	259

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

NOTES: Some respondents commented on several sites so these numbers exceed the actual numbers of people making comments. They also include comments where people used a name for a possible site e.g. 'Tuppers Field' rather than a site number.

Other Suggested Sites

A number of suggestions were made as to other possible areas for building that did not feature in the Open Day presentation – but presumably may do so in future. These included:

Binsted

The old gravel pits/sand quarry north of A27 (*suggested 8 times*)

Eastergate Lane – WSCC land originally proposed for school

Potwell House/Copse, Silverwings 17 acres available

Behind the allotments (caravan park?)

Cherrytree Nursery

Development Size:

Many comments referred to the ideal size of developments – where specified these broke down as favouring the following:

Very small (2-5 units)	7
Small (6-10 units)	3
Medium (11-25 units)	5
Large (26+ units)	3

Unspecified 'smaller' sites 70 (+ "lots of people" cf. Sue)

Type of housing:

Many (*but not all*) were in favour of 'sheltered' housing, 'affordable' housing or generally smaller housing for young or old people. Others favoured a general mix. Apparent numbers as follows:

Sheltered Housing	31
Affordable Housing	36
Social Housing	1
Small houses/bungalows	14
Mixed Housing	4
Quality Houses (<i>NOT small boxes</i>)	3

Drainage/Sewage/Flooding

These interconnected issues, both in an actual/historic sense and as to the need for their consideration with any potential development were specifically mentioned 10 times

(+ 'general concern' cf. Ann)

Possible Solar Farm Pro 5 Con 1

COMMUNITY ISSUES

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

Traffic Problems (current & potential) was the most often mentioned issue.

Most commonly cited:

The Street		27
West Walberton Lane	8	
Tye Lane		4
Yapton Lane		15
General congestion, etc.		83

Provision/Improvement of:

Cycle Ways		28	<i>(especially between Walberton & Barnham)</i>
Pedestrian paths/pavements	5		

Play Park 8 *(particularly for older children)*

Post Office *(support/save)* 9

Village Character

A number of people mentioned this but it's clear not everyone has the same idea as to what it means – some want to preserve the village/s totally unchanged, some want it to become 'younger' with more families and with more facilities for children/teenagers while some seem to see it principally as somewhere for old people to live out the end of their lives. *(clearly the only way to reconcile such different views would be through a number of small developments of different kinds)*

Then again, some want to bring Binsted, Walberton & Fontwell closer together, others want to keep them strictly apart.

A number of comments were made about other issues, such as the possible Arundel By-Pass. These have not been included herein as they are not directly relevant to the neighbourhood plan. Similarly a number of comments were really administrative in nature – e.g. should developers' representatives have been present, or how good or not the presentation was. These are also excluded from this summary, although note has been taken of all such comments.