

## DROP IN DAY – SITE SPECIFIC POSTS

If a small development of house (4-6) was put behind the Brewery Complex it would not be ideal but it would be much more acceptable than 10-20 which would possibly fit.

One large site would be out of character with Walberton. Site 16 (15?) in particular is large, on rising ground, visible and close to the Conservation Area; should be resisted strongly. Several smaller sites close to Fontwell with good A27 access better.

Site 19 Turnpike Motors ideal site for sheltered housing

NO to Tuppers Field & Hanbury. Prefer to spread the load to smaller areas

Walberton doesn't need a large housing development like the Hanbury proposal. Smaller sites will be much better for the village community

Sites 8 & 11 seem idea fill in sites with little impact on existing properties. Turnpike Motor unobtrusive infill. Site 5 appears to have good potential for sensitive development

Site 17. This one is ideal

NO to 50 new homes behind school

Most of sites OK JUST NOT the 50 homes behind the school

Sites 8,9,10 & 12 are OK; but plot 11 is just too big – too many – adding traffic to W.W. Lane

The idea of 'small bungalows' on site 14 is appealing to provide a different type of housing to enable elderly people to downsize & make larger housing available for families.

Copse Lane not bad. Stupid behind school and Avisford – NO!! Site 18 not bad – Keep it spread out.

I am very concerned about the development of the area feeding into A27 e.g. sites 8,9,10,11,12 due to running sand. There is a continuous problem with sewage; more building would make this even worse. Also the entrances onto the A27 would be dangerous as the traffic coming from Arundel goes so fast.

My only worry is Tuppers Field – will 50 become 500?

Site 9/8/4 Fontwell is big enough already!

9 I agree – we should be infilling

9 Agree to this if access is via site 8

In favour of 14 Sunny Corner – also 8,9,10,11,12,13. 16 is a sensible use of village centre site. 15 too large and would destroy feel of village with negative impact on school and difficulties for traffic flow.

## DROP IN DAY – SITE SPECIFIC POSTS

17 could accommodate a small number of mixed dwellings

Please use brownfield rather than plot 4 & 50 houses which use rural sites

Site 18 – A good scheme

I think it's a brilliant idea to have one or 2 houses built on different private land on small plots. I'm against 50 houses to be built by Hanbury – would it really be 50?? I'm sure the number would be much more!

Not 50 Hanbury Houses – better to get infill as site 14 proposal 3 – Eastergate Lane & site 3; Turnpike Motors; Fontwell Lillywhite Option 1

Site 15. I'm really against this plan by Hanbury – Options 1 & 3 have access via the school driveway which is too narrow, another case like the pub access – and the existing houses have access onto this school drive.

Small satellite developments are preferable to large 50+ developments

Housing estate on Tupperts field all trying to access through Tye Lane – could be 150-200 houses eventually. What a stupid idea!

Plot 14. Bungalows for the elderly OK or a few large houses preferred.

Absolute NO to Hanbury Homes. What cynical opportunism! What would happen to the rest of the field? It won't be farmed. How long before the build another 50+. Walberton is a VILLAGE

Hanbury won't stop at 50 houses. Once the building line is breached the bit in the middle of Tupperts' field will be 'in-fill' and the original 400 houses will be built.

If we have to build 50 houses, use the brownfield or small plots not Hanbury. We all know it is all about profit

NO to 50 house in one place – NO to building on Tupperts Field

If 50 houses are required over a 15 year period – do NOT build on Tupperts Field – Go for small developments. If we have 50 now this will be the proverbial 'thin edge'

Very concerned about site 7. This would establish too large a site for potential developers. The resultant large number of houses would cause severe strains on the infrastructure and destroy the character of the rural area.

I think the proposed Hanbury development is MUCH TOO LARGE 50 houses – Good Lord !

Site 15 would be DISASTROUS because:

## DROP IN DAY – SITE SPECIFIC POSTS

- \* impossible access via Yapton Lane, which is already horrendous and will be worse with Ford incinerator and Lake Lane developments
- \* would totally change village character
- \* seriously increase sewage/drainage/flooding problems in village
- \* great danger of 50 becoming 200+

Sites 14 & 18 ideal, site 13, 16 & 5 all good

Please use smaller sites NOT HANBURY this will just be the thin end of the wedge

NO to 50 houses. YES so smaller developments

NO to Choller Farm development because it will close gap between villages

I am much more pro an infilling approach rather than plonking an estate on farmland such as Hanburys' options.

Of Hanburys Options I think Option 1 is the least disruptive as access for building will be from the relatively quiet Tye Lane. The danger with an estate even on part of the field is that there is the likelihood of expansion and it could swallow Walberton, so that it loses its character and community feel.

This is all open to question until A27 route is resolved.

Not site 5 & 6 in Fontwell but smaller infill sites look the better option.

Development north of school looks a good way to serve the school and also alleviate issues in the street. No to site opposite racecourse.

2. Proposed housing in sites 11, 12 & 15 although shown as 'low' would join Barnham (?) to Walberton so I am strongly against it!

House building is better in small sections rather than a great big estate. Proposal at site 13 sounds good – in keeping with the village and the type of people who live here! Don't let Hanbury build a big estate.

Object to W.W. Lane/Eastergate Lane development

All the large sites should be opposed – 2, 7 & 15. Would prefer a number of smaller developments rather than one or two large ones. Site 19 – access would be dangerous and field regularly in flood

Site 10: The property there already is in keeping with surrounding area

Site 9: makes site 8 too big

Site 8: too many buildings

Site 7: flooding – too big – not suitable for area

Can't be right to put any housing right up to A27

No 7 & No 15 developments too big – pollution & noise

## DROP IN DAY – SITE SPECIFIC POSTS

Re siting of 50 houses with access to Tye Lane: This lane is overused at the moment as a cut through for traffic coming from or going to the A27 from a southerly direction – due in part to increased development of local sites to the south. The lane also serves an industrial site and although a weight restriction applies this is more often than not ignored by an increasing number of HGVs using the lane. It would be hazardous to users (the lane is often used by horse riders, dog walkers, etc.) to have all the traffic from 50 houses turn onto the lane. Why do we need 50 houses all at once? ?

9 & 10 NO: Floods, access, drainage. NOT SUITABLE AT ALL !!

I support the Holders proposals for small houses on land near West Walberton Lane. Any new development should have main access to A27 via one of the existing roads (Tye Lane, W.Walb Lane) so as not to exacerbate the current traffic problems in The Street

Not in favour at all of Hanbury schemes; 2 Walberton residents

Yes to Turnpike Motors development

I support Hanbury houses East because the traffic from the houses would not come up West Walberton Lane which is crowded with horses & bikes & traffic

Site 16 (15?) – Option 1 – Tye Lane would become very congested. Issues around accessing A27 from Tye Lane – a severe accident hazard

No to no 16 (15?)

Option 2 & 3 Traffic on Yapton Lane oneway in through Golf Club – Destroy open area

Hanbury – Tuppers Field NO! Private developers should not have been invited to ‘sell’ at this meeting. Keep green fields

Yellow sections 11 & 15 are huge – surely more than 50 houses could end up going up. Infrastructure/roads won’t cope.

13 sounds sensible spot

There is a prime infilling site behind the allotments (now derelict) in Homefield garages. I would think 4 dwellings could be built – even more if the ill-maintained garages were re-built to give further space.

We would like to build 3 to 4 houses on land at Cherrytree Nursery which is next to a large care home

NO to Tuppers Field (15)

Hanbury Properties. This development too large. Would prefer the 50 properties spread throughout the village – therefore max of say 20 in one of these sites

## DROP IN DAY – SITE SPECIFIC POSTS

Best option to Wandleys, S&G Motors going, Rachel going!